

**From:** [Steve Clemens](#)  
**To:** [Joseph P. Filippi](#)  
**Subject:** Public Comment for Agenda Item 2 for the Aug 20, 2021 Meeting  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 17, 2021 9:08:04 AM

---

Joseph,

Please include my written statement below as public comment for the upcoming 9AM meeting on 20 Aug 2021 regarding action item #2 - discussing vaccine mandates for universities.

Thank you,  
Steven Clemens

-----

To the Nevada Board of Health,

RE: Agenda Item #2 for Meeting Scheduled 20 Aug 2021 – (Covid19 Vaccine Mandates)

The proposed action item is to discuss and possibly act on an amendment to NAC 441A.755. The amendment as proposed will require, along with other well tested vaccines, the covid-19 shot for all students attending higher education in Nevada.

I present the following points in opposition to such a mandate.

Point #1:

The regulation under NAC 441A.755 is titled "University students: Proof of immunity to certain communicable diseases required; exceptions; exclusion from university.". Furthermore, paragraph 1 of the same code indicates the following: "Except as otherwise provided in subsection 10 or unless excused because of religious belief or medical condition, a person shall not attend a university until he or she submits to the university proof of immunity to tetanus, diphtheria, measles, mumps, rubella and any other disease specified by the State Board of Health. The Division shall establish the immunization schedule required for admission of the student."

The paragraph cited above requires "Proof of Immunity" to the various conditions spelled out. Mandating the covid-19 vaccines cannot move forward with the code as written. There is no mechanism for a student to provide "Proof of Immunity" to covid-

19 since there exists no such treatment or vaccine that causes immunity to Covid-19 in humans.

1. CDC states that vaccinated individuals can still spread Covid-19; you are clearly not immune if you can spread the virus. ([link](#))
2. CDC states that vaccinated individuals can still become infected with Covid-19; you are certainly NOT immune if you can be infected by the virus. ([link](#))
3. CDC recommends all individuals resume face coverings, vaccinated or not; this suggests no immunity if a mask is still required. ([link](#))
4. The Covid-19 vaccine's long term efficacy is not known and in recent studies have indicated current vaccine efficacy has dropped below 50%; suggesting no immediate or lasting immunity can be realized within a human body. ([link](#))
5. Covid-19 has already mutated to multiple strains – at least four are known to be in the United States according to the CDC; suggesting immunity is also futile in the long term as more variants come into existence. ([link](#))

Considering the above list and the requirement in NAC 441A.755, no student can show “Proof of Immunity” to Covid-19 and as such this emergency mandate cannot move forward since it would be impossible to comply and ridiculous to suggest this course of action will do anything of value. We are at the highest levels of Covid-19 vaccination in the US today and yet we still have a resurgence of Covid-19 infections; which suggests further the lack of vaccine efficacy.

Point #2:

The current Covid-19 “vaccines”, as offered today, are only “Emergency Authorized” for use by the FDA. The Supreme Court of the United States has previously decided on a ruling that suggests the government has the power to force vaccinations on individuals under certain circumstances. However, the SCOTUS has never specifically addressed the situation for mandating the use of Emergency Authorized and experimental vaccines. There is a recent opinion from the US Justice Department ([link](#)) that suggests emergency use medications can be imposed but this is a speculative opinion and has not been adjudicated by any court. These vaccines are still in the early phases of full approval and could have negative consequences for those injected with them. These legal questions are in their infancy as far as Covid-19 is concerned and I believe the NVBOH and the State of Nevada would be placing themselves in considerable legal risk, if after some time, it is discovered that the current “Emergency Authorized” vaccines are harmful in some way not understood at this time. The board should not require the experimental Covid-19 vaccine on these grounds.

### Point #3:

“Safe and Effective” is the phrase constantly thrown out there by experts and the media. This vaccine is neither safe nor effective. Ultimately, as already shown in Point #1, the shot is not effective at stopping the spread of the virus or the development of infections in the vaccinated. Safety of the vaccine is also highly dubious in that the CDC VAERS Database is showing, for year 2021 only, 5,787 deaths directly attributed to the Covid-19 vaccination. Also concerning are the 7,513 “life threatening” cases, 6,637 permanent disabilities or 26,432 hospitalizations. There have been a total of 486,530 adverse reactions reported to date (16 Aug 2021) in VAERS. It is estimated that 168M Americans have received their vaccinations as of the same date. Using today’s figures one can estimate roughly 0.29% Covid-19 vaccines result in an adverse reaction. In comparison, a well-tested and understood vaccine such as the MMR vaccine resulted in just 197 adverse reactions, 4 of which are deaths, in the same time period. The CDC estimates 10M MMR doses are given annually and accordingly the MMR vaccine results in just roughly 0.002% adverse reaction rate. This suggests the Covid-19 vaccination is roughly causing 146 times the adverse reaction rates and nearly 90 times the rate of death; roughly two orders of magnitude higher for Covid-19 vaccines. How is that safe by any measure? Beyond the short term impacts are the unknown long term concerns that we have not even begun to understand like the effect the spike protein may have on nerves, organs and vessels. There have been reports of clotting, tinnitus, nerve damage, abnormal blood pressure and heart inflammation across the news for months. Recently the concern of antibody-dependent enhancement has arisen as well as vascular/heart condition concerns and demyelination of nervous tissue. None of this will be known until true long term studies of the vaccine are concluded.

Because of the short term complications of the Covid-19 vaccine being upwards of 146 times more harmful than other routine vaccines and the unknown long term effects the board must not mandate vaccines; it would be irresponsible to subject students against their will to this vaccine.

### Point #4:

Medical tyranny is a slippery slope. Americans deserve the right to choose for themselves whether they will take an experimental and emergency authorized substance with unknown long term effects and some known disastrous short term effects. Young Americans wishing to further themselves academically will require an education from an institution of higher learning and we all know that it is not really an option for those not willing to take the shot to simply give up on their dreams. To suggest this is not a forced injection is being intentionally obtuse given the education requirements these days for good careers and the limited supply of institutions that may not require such a “vaccination”. If we do not have personal authority over our very own bodies, the most personal of spaces, then this is truly not the “Land of the Free” any longer. For this reason you cannot institute mandatory Covid-19 shots for

higher learning.

Conclusions:

I understand that this is a difficult decision and seems like this mandate is an easy answer. However, mandating an emergency authorized and experimental mRNA style "vaccine" that does not STOP infections in the vaccinated, does not STOP transmission of the virus by the vaccinated, can cause serious short term harm in some individuals, has unknown long term health impact and strips individuals of the right to choose what do with their own bodies just cannot be reasonably supported by anyone at this time. Those who are vaccinated may enjoy less severe symptoms than an unvaccinated individual, however, the benefit to the general population by forcing vaccines just does not exist when looking at the data today. If all that we get from vaccines is the potential for less symptoms then the decision to not vaccinate causes no further public health concern. Please, at this time, you must be conservative in this approach and not amend NAC 441A.755. Do not mandate Covid-19 shots.

Thank you for your time,

Steven Clemens